

Endotoxin Detection by LAL for Parenteral Products



by Hongjin Min CR Endosafe Asia Technical Manager Woo Jung BSC, Inc.



Principal Microbiology Tests

STERILITY: Detection of live microorganisms

PYROGEN: Detection of cell-wall fragments from Gram(-) bacteria. History of a product's exposure to GNB

Endotoxins and Pyrogens

- Pyrogens are fever-inducing agents in humans and animals
 - include endotoxin, gram + cell debris, fungi
- Endotoxins are components from the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria



DIAGRAM OF A GRAM-NEGATIVE CELL MEMBRANE

Endotoxin

Endotoxin - Component from outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria

- Environmental (unpurified) endotoxin: complex of protein, carbohydrate & lipid
- Purified endotoxin: lipopolysaccharide molecule of polysaccharide and lipid a, without protein

Purified Endotoxin -Lipopolysaccharide

- Passes through sterilizing membrane filters
- Lipid A region causes LAL reaction and potent pharmacological activities associated with endotoxin
- poorly dispersible in water
- pyrogenicity correlates with LAL assays

Why Test for Endotoxins?

- To protect against adverse reactions (sepsis) in humans and animals
- FDA and USP guidelines require final product testing on all parenterals and medical devices
- To safeguard against diminished effectiveness of a product due to endotoxin

Endotoxicity

- ENDOTOXIN CAUSES MYELOID TISSUE TO RELEASE INFLAMMATORY MEDIATORS
- CYTOKINES INDUCE A VARIETY OF TISSUE DAMAGE
- SHOCK and MULTIPLE ORGAN DYSFUNCTION MAY OCCUR



How to test for Endotoxins?

Rabbit Pyrogen Test
 FD approved in 1941
 LAL / TAL Test
 gel clot LAL approved in 1973
 kinetic LAL in 1987
 Cell based assays
 In Vitro pyrogen assay

Charles River Endosafe

Rabbit Pyrogen Test



Measure the change in temperature of 3 rabbits, after intravenous injection of the test solution.



LAL

Limulus - genus of Horseshoe Crab
Amoebocyte - blood cells
Lysate - blood extract

LAL is a FDA regulated biological that reacts chemically in the presence of gram negative cell debris. This reaction can be measured and quantified.

Charles River Endosafe



















LAL Discoveries by Bang and Levin

Described role of endotoxin in coagulation of Limulus blood

Prepared Endotoxin - responsive lysate from Amoebocytes

LAL Assay

- LAL is > 100 times more sensitive, specific and accurate
- Economy of LAL permits more testing
- Tolerance of rabbits to endotoxin is a concern for FDA
- LAL activity correlates with pyrogenicity

History of LAL Regulations

- 1941 Rabbit Pyrogen test included in USP
- 1973 FDA announced LAL as a biological product
- 1977 FDA described conditions for use of LAL as end-product test for human biological & medical devices
- 1980 Federal register drafted guidelines for use of LAL test for end-product testing of human & animal injectable drug products

History of LAL Regulations

- IPAS Federal Register lists final guidelines on LAL testing including chrom and turb
- 1987 USFDA LAL Test Guideline
- 1991 Interim Guidance Kinetic LAL Test
- 1995 USP 23 Bacterial Endotoxin Test
- 1998 European Pharmacopoeia BET
- 2000 Harmonized BET



Other Regulatory Documents

USP monographs

Manufacturer's Package Insert

Internal SOP

AAMI guidelines

other Pharmacopoeia



New Harmonized BET

Effective July 2000

First Microbiological test harmonized by ICH

Allows use of endotoxin-specific LAL must test for glucans if suspected in your sample

Includes kinetic LAL methods





Gel Clot & Kinetics – The Basics

Clotting Reaction For Activated LAL



Charles River Endosafe

LAL Testing

Gel Clot(Limit Test)
Gel Clot(Semi-Quantitative)
Endpoint Chromogenic
Endpoint Turbidimetric
Kinetic Chromogenic
Kinetic Turbidimetric



Summary of Gel Clot Test

- Endpoint sought by 180 inversion of sample tube
 - Positive = Firm Gel
 - Negative = Flow down side of tube



Gel Clot Reagent

- In general
 - Lowest reagent cost
 - Simple reporting (+-)
 - Found in all
 - Pharmacopoeia
 - Good stability
 - Simple technique

- Endosafe
 - 🛚 firm gel
 - buffered lysate
 - better interference resistance
 - 🛚 .015 EU/ml

Sources of gel clot test problems

- Use of wrong EU/ng ratio
- use of improper reaction or dilution tubes
- Incubating temperature too hot or too cold
- Improper dilutions
- Improper handling of lysate



Gel Clot Disadvantages

Limits test

Least sensitive

Most time consuming

Poor trending options

Variability, subjectivity











Microplate Absorbance Readers

Woo Jung BSC, Inc.


Kinetic LAL Advantages

- Quantitative results
- Higher throughput (96 wells)
- Lower sensitivity (to .001)
- Wider standard curve range
- Clear concise reporting
- Allows for data trending



August 25, 2003

FUNCTION: Kinetic LAL System

- MEASURE CHANGES IN OPTICAL DENSITY (OD)
- RELATES CHANGES IN OD TO ENDOTOXIN CONCENTRATION
- AUTOMATED CALCULATIONS
- REPORTS RESULTS of ANALYSIS



Change in O.D. over Time





Endpoint: "Fixed Time"





Endpoint Test

Beer's Law: Absorb. vs. Conc.





"Fixed" Optical Density





Kinetic Test











Charles River Endosafe How to Quantify the Amount of Endotoxin in the Sample

A standard curve is Log (Reaction Time Sample constructed by plotting the log of onset time versus the log of concentration Log (Endotoxin Conc.)

Summary of Kinetic Assay

- The amount of endotoxin present is inversely proportional to the time of reaction
 - the more endotoxin present, the quicker the well or tube will react (change color or become turbid)
- A standard curve is generated based on how long each of the standards take to reach an onset OD
- Unknowns are then compared to the standard curve to generate a endotoxin values





Selecting Kinetic LAL Reagents

Turbidimetric or Chromogenic?



Selection of Kinetic LAL Reagent

- cost of reagent
- unique interference problems
- analysis time
- sensitivity

Kinetic Lysate Options

Turbidimetric Ieast expensive, 2-log range down to .01

- KTA²
 - mid-priced, 3-log range down to .005, better interference resistance
- Chromogenic
 most expensive, 4-log range (50-.005), best interference resistance

Kinetic Chromogenic Reagent

- 🧇 In general
 - 4 log standard curve
 - Low sensitivity (.005)
 - Fast reaction
 - Best for biologicals
 - Most expensive
 Iysate

- Endosafe
 - Fastest (.005 in 50 min)
 - Less expensive
 - Flexible packaging
 - Best linearity
 - Best stability



KINETIC CHROMOGENIC (KCA)

ENDOCHROME-K, LAL

- REHYDRATE VIAL WITH 3.2 3.4 mL LRW
- SET WAVE LENGTH of READER to 405 nm.
- SET ONSET OD to 0.1 OD UNITS
- SET UP 2 to 4 LOG RANGE
- **EXPECT INCUBATION TIME < 40 MINUTES**

Kinetic Turbidimetric Reagent

- 🔮 In General
 - Price similar to gel
 - Linear over two logs
 - Stable
 - .05 in about 50 minutes
 - Trend analysis

- Endosafe KTA
 - Linear over wider range
 - Better spike recovery
 - products other than water
 - Also true gel clot
 - No reconstitution buffer



KINETIC TURBIDIMETRIC (KTA)

- ENDOSAFE KTA LAL
 - REHYDRATE VIAL WITH 5.2 mL OF LRW
 - SET WAVE LENGTH OF READER TO 340 nm.
 - SET ONSET OD to 0.05 OD units
 - SET UP 2 or 3-LOG RANGE
 - **EXPECT INCUBATION TIME** <45 MINUTES

KTA² Turbidimetric Lysate

- 1st turb lysate to run at .005 EU/ml
- Faster than existing turbs (.005 in < 1 hour)</p>
- Interference resistance of chromogenic lysate
- Priced closer to conventional turb
- Can be used with polynomial regression

Glucans ((1-3)-B-D-glucan)

- Materials that cause false positive in LAL by activating Factor-G pathway
- Non-pyrogenic
- Sources include cellulosic membranes and fungal or yeast extract
- Reacts like endotoxin in gel clot
- Causes enhancement in kinetic methods



LAL and Glucans

- No current LAL on the market is totally unreactive to glucans
- Reactivity tied to extraction method
- Variability between lots
- Best way to test today is to use glucan blocker



LAL - Test Interference

Inhibition:
 Recovery < Expected Amount
 Enhancement:

Recovery > Expected Amount

Non-interference:

Recovery = Expected Amount

Product Screen (Inh / Enh)

- Calculate EL, MVD, MVC
- Make sample dilutions between undiluted and MVD
- Screen these dilutions to determine noninterfering test concentration
- Once a non-interfering sample dilution is determined perform validation on three lots of this product

Product Screen (Inh / Enh)

Measure and document pH of sample + LAL

- If no pH adjustment is made then, the validation data serves as documentation for routine testing, and pH measurements will not have to be performed routinely
- If pH adjustments are made then routine testing should include pH measurement and documentation.

LAL Compatibility

A test sample is compatible with LAL reagent if the positive product control (ppc) is recovered.

in gel-clot, at least 2-lambda is recovered

in kinetic LAL, 100 ±50% of a known amount of CSE is recovered relative to a standard curve.



Scope of Interference Problem

- Only 25% of pharmaceuticals are testable undiluted
- Inhibition is usually solved by dilution
- Devices are seldom inhibitory, unless coated



Eliminating Causes Of Interference



Current Trends in LAL Testing

- Continued move toward kinetic testing
 over 55% of all LAL tests performed today
- Compliant software
- Database trending
- Robotics
- In-vitro pyrogen test





LAL Applications



LAL Applications

Pharmaceuticals

Medical Devices

Water testing

Dialysis testing

Cell culture

Acceptance of LAL by Pharmaceutical Industry

LAL IS > 100 TIMES MORE SENSITIVE, SPECIFIC and ACCURATE

ECONOMY of LAL PERMITS MORE TESTING

TOLERANCE of RABBITS to ENDOTOXIN = CONCERN for FDA Baxter Report on Non-Endotoxin Pyrogens

- 143,196 LAL and 28,410 RABBIT TESTS
- ALL PYROGENIC TESTS GAVE POSITIVE LAL RESULT
- NO FALSE-POSITIVE LAL TESTS
- NOT ALL POSITIVE LAL TESTS WERE PYROGENIC in RABBITS
- RABBIT WAS OFTEN UNRELIABLE

Improved Quality of U.S. Pharmaceuticals

- 1974 FDA STUDY FOUND 45% of ALBUMIN PYROGENIC
- REGULATED In-PROCESS TESTING ELIMINATED PYROGENIC ALBUMIN
- PYROGENS REMOVED from INFLUENZA VACCINES
- LAL REQUIRED for SPINAL-FLUID DRUGS
- NO OUTBREAKS of FEVER SINCE 1980

LAL Application - Medical Devices

- 1987 FDA Guideline
- Extraction test
- Endotoxin Limit -0.05 EU/ml up to 20 EU/device
- Turbidimetric most cost effective method for testing

Charles River Endosafe

Medical Devices Requiring Pyrogen(Endotoxin) Testing

DEVICES that PENETRATE SKIN

MEDICAL IMPLANTS

FLUID PATHWAYS for IV Medications

NOT APPLICABLE to: GLOVES, ORTHOPEDIC PRODUCTS, WOUND DRESSINGS
Official Role for LAL Testing in Device Quality

- Comparison Study revealed LAL advantages over Pyrogen (rabbit) Test
- DEVICE INDUSTRY SWITCHED TO LAL TESTING BY 1987
- DEVICES with NON-PYROGENIC LABEL REQUIRE LAL TEST

LAL Application - Water system

- Routine monitoring
- Turbidimetric is the lysate of choice
- Trending allows you to set action and alert limits



Sources of Endotoxin in Water System

COLONIZATION OF SOFTENERS, CARBON BEDS and DI UNITS by G(-) RODS

BIOFILM on RO MEMBRANES, WATER PIPES, and in STORAGE TANKS

LAL Application - Dialysis

- Testing of dialysis water and concentrate
- Testing performed in clinics, hospitals, and reference labs
- Gel clot is method of choice because of low volume of tests
- New AMMI limit on water for dialysis is 2 EU/ml

Charles River Endosafe

LAL Application - cell culture

- Effects of endotoxin very greatly depending on cell line.
- in vitro fertilization adversely affected
- Classified broadly as a parenteral product
 Endotoxin testing by BET is imminent